

Mediator Evaluation Program: Process Checklist

| () Cleared conflicts for this evaluation mediation. |
|---|
| () Understanding that the goal of this process is to assess a fellow mediator's strengths and weaknesses, have spoken with mediator to determine the extent of my participation during any mediation communications. |
| () Reviewed the evaluation forms and competencies tool in advance of any mediation communications. |
| () To the best of my abilities, made myself available for pre-mediation communications and the initial mediation session. |
| () Signed confidentiality form. |
| () Filled out the evaluation forms. |
| () Discussed evaluation conclusions with mediator. (Please note: final determinations regarding a mediator's status on the panel are made by the Mediation Office.) |
| () Submitted this form, the final evaluation form, and competencies form to the Mediation Office within 48 hours of the post-mediation discussion. |
| () I departed from the guidelines above for the following reasons: |



Mediator Evaluation Program: Final Recommendation

| 1 | observed mediator | on the following |
|--|---|---|
| dates | _• | |
| Understanding that any final decision the Mediation Program, based on this mediator. | | service on the SDNY panel rests with owing recommendations about this |
| () This mediator should continue to attached form. In particular: | mediate because he/she demo | onstrated competencies discussed in the |
| () This mediator should not continu | a to madiata now bacausa ba/s | she needs to develop the following |
| competencies: | e to mediate now because ne/s | she needs to develop the following |
| This mediator will be offered the oppleast 3 other mediations coordinated evaluation mediation. During this 12 professional development offered by | by the SDNY Mediation Prog month period the mediator m | ay participate in any training or |
| () I recommend this mediator as an | evaluator for the program. (Ex | xplain briefly.) |
| () I have discussed my recommendation | ations with the mediator. | |
| () At his/her request I have provided | d a copy of the evaluation form | ms to the mediator. |
| Date: | | |
| : Evaluator | | |

*This document and the information it contains are confidential and may not be used for any purpose other than the SDNY

Mediator Evaluation Program. (Draft Date: August 18, 2015)

Page | 2



Mediator Evaluation Program: Competencies Form

This form is intended to provide guidelines for the assessment of skills, interventions, and competencies associated with mediators using a variety of styles and approaches to mediation. It is not expected that the mediator will necessarily use or reflect all of the identified skills, interventions, and competencies in any particular mediation. This form is a crucial aspect of the evaluation process and we ask that you take time to read and complete it before speaking with the mediator. Where possible, please include specific examples of a mediator's comments and/or conduct to illustrate your evaluation of individual sections/interventions.

In order to protect the confidentiality interests of the participants, do not use the names of the parties or the lawyers. Also be sure not to provide information that might identify any of the participants.

Mediator:

| Evaluator: |
|--|
| Dates of Observation: |
| In each section below, please 1) check all interventions/skills demonstrated by the mediator, 2) provide an overall rating for that section, and 3) use comments to provide examples of particular strengths and weaknesses. If a particular section or skill could not be accomplished or demonstrated due to circumstances beyond the mediator's control please give no rating for that section and explain the circumstances. |
| 1. <u>Pre-mediation Calls with Counsel:</u> |
| Contacted parties to schedule call promptly after notice of selection by: phone e-mail other |
| Greet participants; endeavor to set positive, friendly, cooperative tone |
| Ask if participants have mediated before; explain, summarize, invite input about the process |
| Explain confidentiality and confidentiality agreement |
| Confirm identity of persons who will attend, including those with settlement authority |
| Ask status of case and discovery |

*This document and the information it contains are confidential and may not be used for any purpose other than the SDNY

Mediator Evaluation Program. (Draft Date: August 18, 2015)

Page | 3

| Discuss initial statements in joint session |
|---|
| Established interim steps (e.g. limited discovery, content/deadlines for mediation statements) |
| Overall Assessment of Pre-Mediation Calls |
| (5 = Exceptional; 4 = Very Effective; 3 = Competent; 2 = Needs Improvement; 1 = Does Not Perform |
| Necessary Skill) |
| If specific circumstances prevented demonstration, explain: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 2. <u>Mediator's Opening Statement</u> : |
| Greet participants; establish friendly, cooperative tone |
| Facilitate introductions |
| Explain process, role of mediator, role of counsel, confidentiality |
| Have everyone sign confidentiality agreement |
| Revisit discussion about initial statements |
| Overall Assessment of Opening Statement |
| (5 = Exceptional; 4 = Very Effective; 3 = Competent; 2 = Needs Improvement; 1 = Does Not Perform |
| Necessary Skill) |
| If specific circumstances prevented demonstration, explain: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 3. <u>Joint Session:</u> |
| Listens attentively without interrupting |
| Manages interruptions that threaten the process, if appropriate |
| Asks clarifying questions |
| Encourages active participation of parties |
| Develops with participants an agenda of issues to be addressed |
| Uses active listening techniques (e.g. reflection, summary, reframing) |
| *This document and the information it contains are confidential and may not be used for any purpose other than the SDNY |

Mediator Evaluation Program. (Draft Date: August 18, 2015)

Page | 4

| Overall Assessment of Joint Session |
|--|
| (5 = Exceptional; 4 = Very Effective; 3 = Competent; 2 = Needs Improvement; 1 = Does Not Perform |
| Necessary Skill) |
| If specific circumstances prevented demonstration, explain: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 4. <u>Explores Facts/Interests, Develops Options/Transmits Settlement Proposals</u> : |
| Utilizes caucus effectively |
| Engages parties; encourages them to participate actively |
| Ascertains participants' interests |
| Asks open-ended questions |
| Maintains control of process while allowing participants to shape details |
| Helps participants evaluate strengths and weaknesses of their case |
| Facilitates creative problem-solving, where possible |
| Helps formulate and adjust settlement proposals |
| Encourages reality testing of options and proposals |
| Assists in defining next steps whether or not agreement is reached |
| Overall Assessment of Above Skills |
| (5 = Exceptional; 4 = Very Effective; 3 = Competent; 2 = Needs Improvement; 1 = Does Not Perform |
| Necessary Skill) |
| If specific circumstances prevented demonstration, explain: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 5. <u>Personal Attributes</u> : |
| Stays calm, positive, and patient |
| Puts participants at ease |
| Listens attentively without interrupting |

^{*}This document and the information it contains are confidential and may not be used for any purpose other than the SDNY

Mediator Evaluation Program. (Draft Date: August 18, 2015)

Page | 5

| Facilitates interaction between parties, including difficult conversations |
|--|
| Responds appropriately to expressions of emotion |
| Shows empathy |
| Makes effort to build trust and confidence of the parties in the mediator and the process |
| Overall Assessment of Personal Attributes |
| (5 = Exceptional; 4 = Very Effective; 3 = Competent; 2 = Needs Improvement; 1 = Does Not Perform |
| Necessary Skill) |
| If specific circumstances prevented demonstration, explain: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 6. <u>Adherence to Ethical Standards</u> : |
| Demonstrates impartiality |
| Maintains confidentiality |
| Supports self-determination of participants |
| Understands conflicts/recusal |
| Demonstrates requisite subject matter expertise |
| Overall Assessment of Ethics Standards |
| (5 = Exceptional; 4 = Very Effective; 3 = Competent; 2 = Needs Improvement; 1 = Does Not Perform |
| Necessary Skill) |
| If specific circumstances prevented demonstration, explain: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 7. Overall, was the mediator effective? (Y/N): |
| Why or why not? |
| |
| |

^{*}This document and the information it contains are confidential and may not be used for any purpose other than the SDNY Mediator Evaluation Program. (Draft Date: August 18, 2015)

Page | 6

| 8. Please describe the mediator's level of engagement with the feedback process (e.g. did the mediator display insight into his/her mediation practice, was the mediator open to comments from the evaluator, etc.) |
|---|
| 9. Please describe any consultation between the evaluator and mediator throughout the mediation proces |
| 10. How can this evaluation process and/or form be improved? |
| NOTES: |
| |