

**Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts
Office of Court Administration
Technology Division**

CM/ECF

Release Notes

District Release 4.1.1

June 1, 2010

This page is intentionally blank.

Document Updates:

- Corrected the version numbers for the supported Web browsers. (1/26/10)
- Added MR 2676. (6/1/10)

1 Release Contents

This document is intended for courts that are using the District CM/ECF Release 4.1 software and are upgrading to Release 4.1.1. This release is for Linux only. Release 4.1.1 has been tested and works correctly with Firefox 3.5.x, and Internet Explorer 7 and 8.

Release 4.1.1 of the District CM/ECF application contains solutions to the following issues:

Notice of Electronic Filing ([MR 2443](#))

Beginning with R4.0, NEFs were being sent to secondary email addresses that were not active in the case in which an individual was a participant. This issue was corrected in R4.1.1.

Replication

The following two replication issues are corrected in R4.1.1:

- A process called “point to test” and “point to train” allows courts to test the application software and local modifications from the replication server without performing a read-write failover. A problem with this functionality was fixed in R4.1.1. Please contact the Replication Team in SDSA for more information.
- During a read-only failover, a problem prevented users from logging in to CM/ECF. This problem has been fixed in R4.1.1. ([MR 2676](#))

Sealed Functionality

Beginning in Release 4.1, it was possible for documents to be unintentionally unsealed when unsealing cases. The problem occurred in the following scenario:

- A docket entry that includes a DPF that seals documents individually (e.g., Seal('docs',...) or SetDocumentAccess('256',...)) with more than one document (a main document and attachments) is docketed to a case.
- The case is subsequently sealed (or it was already sealed).
- The case is unsealed (either via docketing or the Edit Case Data utility).
- The problem: During the case unsealing process, on the screen that allows the user to select which entries and/or documents should remain sealed, for entries with multiple documents, a checkbox appears only for the last attachment, rather than for all documents in the docket entry. Even if the user keeps the checkbox for the last attachment checked and submits this screen, all of the other documents in the entry are unsealed (the last attachment remains sealed), and the user is not aware that this occurred. Thus, there are documents in the case that should be sealed but aren't.

This problem has been fixed in R4.1.1.

OPPS Extraction

The OPPS extraction is no longer generating attorney records for alias party records.